

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11184/2019

1. Jayoti Vidyapeeth Women University, Jaipur Vedaant Gyan Valley Village Jharna, Mahala Jobner Link Road, Nh-8 Jaipur Ajmer Express Way, Jaipur, Rajasthan Through Its Registrar Smt. Meghna Singhal, Aged About 40 Years, Wife Of Shri Ankur Singhal, R/o 142, Abhilasha Partment, Vidyut Nagar C, Gandhi Path, Jaipur
2. Faculty Of Ayurvedic Science, Constituent College Of Jayoti Vidhyapeeth Women University, Jaipur, Vedaant Gyan Valley Village Jharna, Mahala Jobner Link Road, Nh-8 Jaipur Ajmer Express Way, Jaipur, Rajasthan Through Its Registrar Smt. Meghna Singhal, Aged About 40 Years, Wife Of Shri Ankur Singhal, R/o 142, Abhilasha Partment, Vidyut Nagar C, Gandhi Path, Jaipur

----Petitioners

Versus

1. Union Of India, Through The Secretary, Ministry Of Ayurveda, Yoga And Naturopathy, Unani, Siddha And Homeopathy (Ayush), B-Block, Gpo Complex, Ina, New Delhi-110023.
2. The Central Council Of Indian Medicine (Ccim), 61/65, Institutional Area, Opposite D Block, Janakpuri, New Delhi Through Its Secretary.
3. The Counseling Board Bams, Bums, Bhms, Bnys Counseling, Dr. Sarvapalli Radha Krishnan Rajasthan Ayurved University, Nagaur Road, Karwar, Jodhpur Through Chairman, Counseling Board.
4. The State Of Rajasthan, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Ayurveda, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
5. Ayush Admissions Central Counseling Committee (Aaccc), Ministry Of Ayurveda, Yoga And Naturopathy, Unani, Siddha And Homeopathy (Ayush), B-Block, Gpo Complex, Ina, New Delhi-110023 Through Its Chairman.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Akhilesh Rajpurohit
Mr. Milap Chopra

For Respondent(s) :

JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

Order

25/07/2019

Mr. Akhilesh Rajpurohit, learned counsel for the petitioners submits and asserts that the petitioner – institution is imparting education for last 4 years and its permission has been granted in regular course and no discrepancies worth the name has ever been pointed out. However, for the present year certain discrepancies have been pointed out by the notice dated 3.6.2019, which has aptly been responded by the petitioner on 12.6.2019. Though satisfactory reply has been given, yet the respondent no.1 has refused the requisite permission to the petitioner – Institute, vide order dated 18.7.2019 for the reasons mentioned in para no.7 of the said order.

While contending that the discrepancies for which the permission has been refused, are not substantial and fatal, he undertakes that the petitioner would improve the same and meet with the norms.

Issue notice. Issue notice of the stay application.

Meanwhile, effect and operation of the order dated 18.7.2019 (Annex.14) shall remain stayed. The respondents are further directed to permit the petitioner to participate in the ensuing counseling and allot students for UG (BAMS) Course with 60 seats.

(DINESH MEHTA),J

242-CPGoyal/-